

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,948	12/15/2005	Hans-Dieter Dubs	2693-000015/US/NP	1702
27572 HARNESS D	7590 04/16/201 ICKEY & PIERCE, P.I.	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 828			SMALLEY, JAMES N	
BLOOMFIEL	D HILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3781	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/16/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/560,948	DUBS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JAMES N. SMALLEY	3781		

	JAMES N. SMALLEY	3781	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 19 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A on event, however, will the statutory priorid for reply expire le Examiner Note: if box 1 is checked, check either box (a) of MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706 07 (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic e of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as ven if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belov (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better (c) The are not deemed to place the application in better the application in the the applicati	nsideration and/or search (see NO) w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3.5-8 and 11-21.		l be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 		•	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Anthony Stashick/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3781			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to claim 11 changes the scope of the claim beyond that which was previously examined, thus requiring further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding Kutcher and Hadley, Examiner maintains that because the claims are drawn to the subcombination, they are capable of performing in the intender manner. Examiner notes neither independent claim requires the cap be applied to the container, only that they are intended to be used for closing a container. Secondly, Examiner notes that because the claims are subcombination claims, they do not have to be located so to acm. Such furthermore possible, because each of Hadley and Kutcher teach a separate roll-on operation, once the caps have been placed on the respective bottles. Thus, Applicant's assertion that the caps could not be applied to a bottle with a cam does not make sense, as such appears to be the same method by which the instant cap is affixed to the instant bottle having a cam. Regarding Fabrice, the cap is easible of being used in the intended manner, because the cap is not positive claimed as being attached to bottle/container.